



02-11-05

JFW
2183

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|
| TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) | Application Number | 10/010389-Conf. #4465 |
| | Filing Date | November 8, 2001 |
| | First Named Inventor | Matthew BECKER |
| | Art Unit | 2183 |
| | Examiner Name | T. L. Meonske |
| Total Number of Pages in This Submission | Attorney Docket Number | SMQ-143(P6594) |

| ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (6 pages) Return Receipt Postcard |
| Remarks | | |

| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|--------|
| Firm Name | LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP | | |
| Signature | | | |
| Printed name | Kevin J. Canning | | |
| Date | February 9, 2005 | Reg. No. | 35,470 |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV 466145236 US, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below. | |
| Dated: February 9, 2005 | Signature: (Kevin J. Canning) |

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EL 466145236 US, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450; on the date shown below.

Dated: February 9, 2005

Signature: _____

Kevin J. Canling

Docket No.: SMQ-143 (P6594)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Matthew Becker

Application No.: 10/010,389

Art Unit: 2183

Filed: November 8, 2001

Examiner: Tonia L. Meonske

For: METHOD AND SYSTEMS FOR
DETERMINING VALID MICROPROCESSOR
INSTRUCTIONS

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT 37 CFR 1.121

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment of January 12, 2005, Applicant respectfully submits a corrected claim set, wherein said corrected claim set is substantially similar to that introduced in the Office Action response of October 15, 2004. Applicant submits that the presently submitted claim set is in the appropriate format as required under 37 CFR 1.121. Applicant further submits that no amendments to the claims have been made beyond the formatting corrections noted by the Examiner in the current Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. In view of this, Applicant believes that the presently submitted claim set is now in compliance and in condition for further review.